# Météo-Erance report

François Bouyssel

WGNE-30, 23-26 March 2015, College Park, Maryland

00



4





Operational suite on new computer since January 2014 Upgrade planned for mid-2016

<u>Research</u> 522 Tflops peak performance 56 racks bullx DLC 1008 nodes Fat Tree InfiniBand FDR Lustre 2 Po, 69 GB/s Disks storage 209 TB



<u>Operations</u> 513 TFlops peak performance 55 racks bullx DLC 990 nodes Fat Tree InfiniBand FDR Lustre 1,53 Po, 46 GB/s Disks storage 135 TB







#### **Evolution of NWP index**

Up to now, NWP Index based on global deterministic model Arpege 48h forecast RMS Z500 against radiosoundings over Europe

#### Be replaced by 2 scores:

- global model Arpege: Index based on RMS against radiosoundings of Z500, T850, V250 parameters at 48h and 72h forecast over Europe

- convective scale model Arome: Index based on BSS against surface observations for RR6 (3 thresholds) and FX6 (1 threshold) at 6, 12, 18, 24h forecast over France



# Operational NWP systems and recent changes

## Global NWP forecasting systems

	Oper	E-suite CY40_op2 (to be operational in April 2015)
ARPEGE Deterministic	TI798c2.4 L70 (10km on W Europe) 4DVar (6h cycle): TI107c1L70 & TI323c1L70 5 forecasts per day up to 102h	TI1198c2.2 L105 (7.5km on W Europe) 4DVar (6h cycle): TI149c1L105 & TI399c1L105 5 forecasts per day up to 102h
ARPEGE Ensemble Data Assimilation (AEARP)	Tl399c1 L70 ; 6 members 4D-Var (6h cycle): Tl107c1 L70 Background covariances averaged on 6 days and updated every 24h	TI479c1 L105 ; 25 members 4D-Var (6h cycle): TI149c1 L105 Background covariances averaged on 1.5 days and updated every 6h
ARPEGE Ensemble Prediction System (PEARP)	TI538c2.4 L65 (15km on W Europe) 35 members ; twice a day up to 108h Using 6 EDA members and singular vectors	TI798c2.4 L90 (10km on W Europe) 35 members ; twice a day up to 108h Using 17 EDA members and singular vectors New set of 10 physical packages
80 % 70 % 80 % 80 % 90 % 90 % 90 % 90 % 90 % 90 % 90 % 9	Arpege Tl1198c2.2 horizontal resolu	Вл Вл Вл Вл Вл Вл Вл Вл Вл Вл

#### Other modifications in e-suite "CY40\_op2" for global system Arpege

- Calibartion in EDA and background error variances filtering
- 30' time-slots in Arpege 4D-Var (instead of 1h)
- Increase of radiances density (factor 2) as input data in screening
- 6 SSMI/S sounding channels of DMSP-F17 and F18
- Use of edge swath ATMS data
- Assimilation of 6 sounding channels of SAPHIR on Megha-Tropiques
- GPS-RO (vertical extension, reduced sigmaO, new satellites)
- New CrIS tropospheric channels (+22 over sea, +8 over land)
- EARS ASCAT Metop-B
- Clear Sky Radiances of Meteosat-7 and Mtsat-2
- New white liste of GPS ground observation (adaptation to new orography)
- Radiosoundings in Bufr format
- "Jc\_dfi" revision (on surface pressure and divergence only)
- Radiation computations every hour (instead of 3h)

#### New version of EDA 4D-Var (AEARP) (with increased ensemble size)

#### Correlation length-scales estimated for wind near 300 hPa on 15/11/2013 (06UTC)



6 members : correlations averaged over 24 cycles (6 days) 24 members : correlations averaged over 6 cycles (1 day <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>)

## E-suite "CY40\_op2": Arpege Index



Arpege Index based on 6 normalized EQM of T850, Z500, V250 at 48 and 72h lead time against radiosoundings over a domain covering Europe

## Global Arpege EPS (PEARP)

8

<u>.99</u>

0.98

0.97

8

8

0.98

0.96

0.92 0.90

0.88

0.86

12

24

Area under the ROC curves 0.94

Area under the ROC curves

35 members including the control member Forecasts resolution : T798C2.4L90 (T538c2.4L70) Using 17 background states and the mean from EDA Singular vectors computed over 7 areas: resol TI95 New set of 10 physical packages

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

Improvement of statistical resolution of the ensemble

Z500OPE DBL HR 7500 hPs verification period: 12 Sep. 2014 -12 Oct. 2014 - against analyses 84 Lead time (h) VENT300 OPE DBL HR

ROC area function of lead time

Lead time (h)

60

72

96

84

108

Rection: 12-Sep -2014-212

#### Convective scale system Arome (CY40\_op2)

	Oper	E-suite
AROME Deterministic	2.5km L60 (750 x 720 pts) 3DVar (3h cycle) 5 forecasts per day up to 36h	<b>1.3km L90 (1536 x 1440 pts)</b> 3DVar ( <b>1h cycle</b> ) 5 forecasts per day <b>up to 42h</b>

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Other modifications in e-suite "CY40\_op2" for convective scale system Arome

- 1h assimilation cycle (instead of 3h) : more observations assimilated such as radar, ground GPS, SYNOP, SEVIRI, etc.
- Incremental Analysis Update (IAU) used to keep 0, 3, 6, 9h, etc. production time bases
- Predictor-corrector temporal scheme with one iteration
- Modified semi-lagrangian advection scheme "COMAD"
- Numerical diffusion tunings (spectral and grid-point)
- New orographic database (GMTED 2010 at 250m resolution)
- Changes in the physics (autoconversion, orographic surface drag, ...)
- Radio-soundings BUFR
- New diagnostics
- New post-processing lat/lon domain (containing whole computing domain)

## 1h assimilation cycle (Nb obs assimilated)

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Modified Semi-Lagrangian scheme

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Better scores for all thresholds and all neighbourhoods. Less intense convective cells

#### AROME e-suite (precipitation score)

Precipitation Frequency Bias for the threshold 10mm/6h function lead time on summer and autumn 2014

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Preparation of new systems

#### **AROME-Nowcasting**

AROME-Nowcasting system will be running in pre-operational mode from mid 2015 AROME 1.3km : 6h forecast every hour based on 3DVar analysis with 10min cut-off Boundary conditions are given every hour by AROME-France model. No cycling.

Difference of BSS for 1h rainfall accumulation between AROME and AROME-Nowcasting forecasts

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

## **AROME Ensemble Prediction System**

- 12 members at 2.5km resolution (vs 1.3km for deterministic AROME-France)
- 42-hour range production starts at 09 and 21UTC
- lateral boundary: selected from the 35-member global EPS (PEARP at 8km)
- initial upper-air: rescaled & centered perturbations from PEARP
- initial surface: correlated random perturbations of SST, soil moisture/humidity, snow, physiographies
- model error: SPPT (stochastic perturbation of physics tendencies)

#### Precipitation case study 19 January 2014 at 12UTC over Var

![](_page_16_Figure_8.jpeg)

Observed 6h cumulated precipitation threshold at 20mm

![](_page_16_Figure_10.jpeg)

AROME 24h forecast -> Pbs of localization and intensity ! PE AROME 24h forecast Proba (cumul 6h > 20mm) -> better localization

AROME EPS

#### **AROME-Overseas**

Domains spread all along the tropical belt 2.5km resolution (instead of 8km) with 1D ocean mixing layer scheme

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

Future AROME overseas domains (in yellow) and current operational ALADIN domains (in white)

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

Tahiti orography (in ALADIN and in AROME)

![](_page_17_Figure_6.jpeg)

SST and SST difference after 42h forecast with Arome including 1D ocean mixing layer scheme for 13/01/2015 (Bansi cyclone)

## Seasonal prediction system 5 for EUROSIP

- To be released in September 2015 (COPERNICUS proof of concept)
- Doubling horizontal resolution (and time step) :  $t127 \rightarrow t255$
- Tripling the vertical resolution : 31 levels  $\rightarrow$  91 levels
- Adding sea-ice (GELATO model)
- Improvements in the surface (SURFEX model) and stratosphere (Ozone, gravity waves)
- Stochastic perturbations to the dynamics equations
- New ocean analyses/reanalyses by Mercator-Ocean (NEMO 1°)
- Computation cost x24

# Appendices

#### New vertical resolutions

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **AROME Ensemble Prediction System**

AROME-France-EPS in preoperational mode :

- 12 members at 2.5km resolution (vs 1.3km for deterministic AROME-France)
- 42-hour range production starts at 09 and 21UTC
- Perturbations:
  - **lateral boundary conditions:** selected from the 35-member global PEARP ensemble (using clustering) (PEARP has 8 km resolution)
    - initial upper-air: rescaled & centered perturbations from PEARP
  - initial surface: correlated random perturbations of SST, soil moisture/humidity, snow, physiographies
    - **model error:** SPPT (stochastic perturbation of physics tendencies)
- Current research:
  - coupling between initial, lateral and surface perturbations
  - better ICs (using EDA or B-based random noise)
  - dispersion-preserving clustering of LBCs
  - post-processing :
    - precipitation calibration, neighbourhood methods and economic value
    - coupling to flood prediction models & aircraft trajectory planning

#### Interaction between EDA and surface perturbations

Ensemble perturbations from the AROME EDA (ensemble data assimilation) are improved when simple random noise is used at the surface, instead. i.e. a better surface perturbation scheme should be developed in EDA.

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Neighbourhood methods for high precipitation forecasts

Ensemble scores improve when spatial tolerance is introduced in the forecast PDF computation :

- improved reliability & ROC metrics
- negligible loss of sharpness
- largest effect comes from improved membership

Performance is sensitive to details of the method used.

![](_page_23_Figure_6.jpeg)

## Contribution to S2S project

Coupled model CNRM-CM with ARPEGE/Surfex TL255L91r and NEMO/Gelato 1°

#### Dispersion mode : stochastic dynamics

	Hindcast	Forecast
Frequency	Monthly	Monthly
Ensemble size	15	51
Initial Conditions	Atm. : ERA-Interim Ocean : Mercator PSY2G2V3 reanalysis	Atm. : IFS Analyses Ocean : Mercator upscaled PSY2G2V3 an.
Length	1993-2014	
Status	In production	Start : Apr. 2015 (?)

Outputs :

- Temporal resolution : Daily series (6h for precipitation)
- · Leadtime: 61 days
- Spatial resolution : regular lat-lon 1.5 ° grid
- Format : grib2
- · Retrieval : MARS archive

## **AROME for climate simulations**

- Resolution 2.5 km on SE France
- Simulations for 20 summer-autumn seasons (1989-2008)
- ERA-interim drives ALADIN 12 km over France (22 years), which drives AROME
- Composite of the 10 days with most rainfall in 1994 (they are the same in ALADIN and in AROME)

Autumn 1994 : heavy rainfall rates (mm/day)

![](_page_25_Figure_6.jpeg)

Red box used to select the 10 days with maximum rainfall

#### Simulations at very high resolution

Current operationnal resolution is 1.3 km on a large domain over europe (1536x1440)

We also regularly perform 500m simulation on small domain for research and development activities :

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

500m simulation in Paris area to provides wind and turbulence related parameters to a wakevortex prediction model (here wind field over surface orography)

![](_page_26_Figure_5.jpeg)

500m simulations over a whole winter period to force te snow model model CROCUS (here snowdepth at a particular time)

#### Simulations at very high resolution

• 500m resolution forecasts experiments help us prepare the future resolution of our forecast model

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

Chartreuse I domain, dx=250m, maximum slope is 61 ° Chartreuse II domain, dx=100m and dx=50m maximum slope is 67 ° and 76°

#### Modified Semi-Lagrangian scheme

#### COMAD scheme (Malardel and Ricard, 2015, QJ)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Modified Semi-Lagrangian scheme

Fuzzy scores: 15 July - 15 September 2013 – AROME 2.5 km

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

Better scores for all thresholds and all neighbourhoods Less intense convective cells Improvement of QPF with less amount of precipitation

## Spherical Geopotential Approximation

- Spherical Geopotential Approximation (SGA): neglects Earth's flattening, and most of centrifugal force. SGA errors acts uniformly at all heights
- Shallow-atmosphere Approximation (SA): neglects increased area of columns and decrease of g, with height. SA errors : zero near ground, same magnitude as SGA errors above 20 km
- SGA errors might therefore hinder NWP progresses earlier than SA errors
- Active work on physically consistent governing equations for Ellipsoidal Geopotential Approximation (EGA) by UK and French teams (UKMO, LMD, M-F) in 2012-2014
- First impact tests in Shallow-Water (SW) idealised cases show systematic / cumulative impact of error with forecast range.
- Positive impact of EGA still weakly-significant in SW real cases, but could become significant in a near future
- Investigations and tests must be pursued for a better estimation of impact, and decision about when EGA will become important, and necessary in NWP

## Ongoing research for future dynamical kernels

1) Keeping current kernel (spectral SI/SL 2TL) as long as possible

- It is possible to perform precise derivative computations at poles on a reduced lat-lon grid provided you use an adapted base of functions.
- Semi-implicit could be solved by gridpoint solvers.
- 2) Towards future kernels
  - Participation in a national research program on a global icosahedral model (HEAT project)
  - Collaboration with ECMWF about new kernel/scalability projects (OOPS, PolyMitos)

## The Passy-2015 field experiment : stable boundary layer and pollution in an Alpine valley

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

- LEGI, CNRM-GAME, LGGE, ARA, LTHE, NCAS
- Funding : LEFE/ADEME and METEO-FRANCE
- PI : LEGI and CNRM-GAME
- Initial objective: exploring atmospheric dynamics associated to pollution (stable conditions) in the Passy valley
- Research topics addressed by GMEI and CNRM
  - Temporal evolution of lower atmosphere
  - Local circulation and spatial heterogeneity
  - Stratified turbulence
  - Fog in a very polluted environment
  - Surface influence
- Tools :
  - Field experiment (nov 2014 mar 2015)
    - 5 measurements sites
    - IOP : 6-14 and 17-20 February 2015
    - Leaded by CNRM-GAME/GMEI
  - Arome and Meso-NH (CNRM-GAME)
  - WRF (LEGI and ARA)

#### "PCMT": Prognostic Condensates Microphysics and Transport

- 5 prognostic equations for convective hydrometeors (cloud droplets, ice crystals, rain, snow) and vertical velocity
- Grid-scale equations from the convection scheme separate microphysical processes and transport processes (Piriou et al., 2007)
- Same microphysics (Lopez, 2002) used for resolved and convective precipitations (called twice)
- Triggering condition, mass flux, entrainment based on buoyancy. CAPE relaxation time for closure (Gueremy, 2011)

*Piriou J.-M., J.-L. Redelsperger, J.-F. Geleyn, J.-P. Lafore and F. Guichard, 2007: An approach for convective parameterization with memory, in separating microphysics and transport in grid-scale equations , J. Atmos. Sci., Volume 64, Issue 11, pp. 4127–4139* 

*Gueremy, J. F., 2011: A continuous buoyancy based convection scheme: one- and three-dimensional validation. Tellus A, 63: 687–706.* 

#### « PCMT »: Global budgets for convective and environmental ice crystals

**Convective ice CI** 

#### **Environmental ice QI**

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

ARPEGE 4 days range prediction, horizontal mean budget

#### « PCMT » : 1D model evaluation

Evaluation of several 1D cases: ARM, BOMEX, EUROCS, LBA, AMMA, ...

EMBRACE FP7 project : Diurnal cycle of convection over the Sahel derived from the AMMA campaign (10th of July 2006 over Niamey)

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### « PCMT »: NWP evaluation

Evaluation based on global forecasts starting from operational analysis and with full assimilation (4DVar and EDA)

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### "PCMT": Climat evaluation

Wide range of configurations (regional/global, nudging/forced/coupled) and diagnostics :

T127 AMIP simulations [1979-2012]

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Partially reduced double ITCZ
- Overestimation of convective RR (East Pacific,Himalaya, ...)
- Underestimation over Amazonia

![](_page_37_Figure_7.jpeg)

## **Microphysics developments**

- Making microphysical tendencies independent from the time step
- LIMA: a 2-moment, mixed-phase microphysical scheme
  - Prognostic evolution of a realistic aerosol population
    - Multimodal (lognormal size distributions), 3D externally mixed aerosols
    - Distinction between several types of CCN / IFN / coated IFN
  - Explicit interactions between aerosols, clouds and precipitations
    - CCN activation extended from Cohard and Pinty 2000 -> cloud droplets
    - IFN nucleation following Phillips (2008,2013) -> ice crystals
    - Impaction scavenging of aerosols by rain
  - MACC (ECMWF) aerosol analyses provide initial and LB conditions

## LIMA & MACC : a Hymex case study

#### Southeastern France, 12-h accumulated precipitation (mm), 2012/09/24, 12 UTC

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

Default aerosol population

Raingauge observations

MACC aerosols

#### High density radar assimilation in the AROME model at 1.3 km of horizontal resolution

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Improvement of the fit of the analysis and also the 1-hour background to the radar observations (RMS calculated over 4 weeks in Summer 2014).

More visible on the RMS of the relative humidity than the one of Radial
Doppler winds

#### High density radar assimilation in the AROME model at 1.3km of horizontal resolution

Example of a « good case »: 9h - Arome forecast valid for the 2014/09/19 at 09 TU: reflectivity field at 700 hpa for both Arome model images (top)

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)